Boat Densities and Carrying Capacities

Boat Density and Carrying Capacity

What are they?

Why do I care?

What does this have to do with relicensing?

Definitions

▲ Boat density - The number of boats per unit area. May include type of boat/activity, and may address shoreline configuration and availability of open water

▲ Carrying capacity – The type and level of visitor use that can be accommodated while sustaining the desired resource and social objectives (NPS, 1997)

Boat Density

- ▲ Shows where people are boating
- ▲ Improves understanding of how and where a lake is used
- Provides input on shoreline management decisions

Identifies where new private, commercial, and/or public development may be accommodated without detracting from use on the water



- Boat density is a building block used to estimate carrying capacity
- Other building blocks might include number/type of public access sites, shoreline development, sensitive resources, water quality....and the list goes on

Compared...

Boat Density May Include...

- ▲ Number of boats per acre
- ▲ Type of boat or activity
- ▲ Shoreline configuration
- Volume of use (user expectations based on proximity to population and shoreline development)

Carrying Capacity May include...

- ▲ Accident data (on the water)
- ▲ Aquatic and submerged habitats
- Boat density
- ▲ Commercial access & development
- ▲ Cultural & historical properties
- ▲ Flora and fauna
- Private / residential access & development
- ▲ Public access & development
- ▲ Public or user preferences
- ▲ Shoreline configuration
- ▲ Shoreline erosion
- ▲ Shoreline habitats
- ▲ Special events (tournaments, regattas)
- ▲ Water quality

Carrying Capacity

Provides an estimate of a number of boats (or people) that can be accommodated physically and socially by a specific area of water



USE WITH CAUTION!

Remember, usually a FERC licensee does not control boating on the water.

Carrying capacity estimates can guide management decisions, but are generally not used by licensees to limit boating activity. A licensee will not count boats daily and start evicting boaters from a lake when the number of boats exceeds an estimated carrying capacity!

First...

▲ *Typically*, a licensee is responsible for managing recreational use and related development within its Project Boundary on the shoreline or submerged lands. ▲ Usually, a state agency or agencies are responsible for managing activity on the water at FERC-licensed projects. ≻Fishing, boating, etc.

Second...Avoid Duplication of Effort

Carrying Capacity Study

- ▲ Accident data (on the water)
- ▲ Aquatic and submerged habitats
- ▲ Boat density
- Commercial access & development
- ▲ Cultural & historical properties
- Flora and fauna
- Private / residential access & development
- Public access & development
- ▲ Public or user preferences
- ▲ Shoreline configuration
- ▲ Shoreline erosion
- ▲ Shoreline habitats
- ▲ Special events (tournaments, regattas)
- ▲ Water quality

Shoreline Management Plan

- ▲ Aquatic and submerged habitats
- ▲ Boat density
- ▲ Commercial access & development
- Cultural & historical properties
- ▲ Dredging
- ▲ Flora and fauna
- ▲ Permitting
- Private / residential access & development
- ▲ Public access & development
- ▲ Public or user preferences
- ▲ Shoreline erosion
- ▲ Shoreline habitats
- ▲ Water quality

Examples

 Entergy Arkansas, Inc. for the Carpenter-Remmel Project (1999)
Duke Energy Corp. for the Nantahala Area Projects (2003)
GRDA for the Pensacola Project (2006)

Entergy's Lake Hamilton and Lake Catherine study... The sample was distributed within each month proportionate to the total number of days in each month. A total of 40 days were sampled at each impoundment. Within each month, Aerial counts of sample days were boaters (including stratified by day type anglers, jet skiers (PWC), Water skiers, (weekdays, weekend days, and holidays) and tubers, pleasure boaters, in the boats, and on the river there time of day. are anglers, foaters, and surfers) were sample of days for a completed on a one vear period.



Use of Lake Catherine



Nanatahala Weekend Crowding



07/01/2005



What Can We Learn?

▲ Identify areas of unique uses ▲ Identify areas of crowding ▲ Identify where use can be spread out to help protect/manage other resources ▲ Inputs into shoreline management decisions ▲ Identify information needs ▲ Identify needed expansions at facilities to address user needs

Licensee Considerations

- ▲ Avoid setting a carrying capacity number that will require restrictions on public access
- ▲ FERC does not advocate restricting access to project waters. Tailor decisions based on all resources and remember, environmental and social considerations are dynamic: management plans should be too.
- ▲ Determine the metrics that you will consider before starting data collection
- ▲ There's nothing more frustrating than finding out that the data collected will not answer the question asked.
- ▲ Be comfortable with agencies and stakeholders know where your responsibilities begin and end
- ▲ A licensee's responsibility is to provide and manage access to project waters. It may not include management of activity on the water.
- ▲ Work with agencies and stakeholders.
- ▲ You may need them to provide information (data, expertise, etc) or implement some of the resulting recommendations. Cooperation and buy-in from stakeholders will always result in a more accepted and workable management plan.

Lower Saluda Scenic River & Corridor Plans

















S.C. Scenic Rivers Act

Purpose of Act -- to protect unique and outstanding river resources of South Carolina

Features ---

- Cooperative, voluntary management program
- Involves landowners, river users, community interests, and SCDNR
- Scenic river management plans
- Tax incentives for conservation easements

Lower Saluda State Scenic River







Lake Murray Dam





Upper River



Ledge at Corley Island

Lower River

Confluence with Broad





Mill Race Rapids

Gervais Street Bridge









Wildlife









Lower Saluda Scenic River Advisory Council

- Tommy Boozer: SCE&G
- Ed Diebold: Riverbanks Zoo & Garden
- Guy Jones: River Runner outfitters
- Larry Jones: Shaw Corp
- Malcolm Leaphart: Trout Unlimited
- Bill Marshall: SCDNR
- Tom Stonecypher: residential landowner
- Dan Well: Irmo-Chapin Recreation Commission
- Rick Wilson: residential landowner

Ex-officio members

- Tony Bebber: SCPRT
- Parkin Hunter: Columbia Audubon
- Ann Jennings: Congaree Land Trust
- Gerrit Jobsis: Coastal Conservation League / American Rivers
- Karen Kustafik: Palmetto Paddlers
- Charlene Coleman: American Whitewater

Advisory Council Objectives

Lower Saluda River Corridor Plan – 1990 Lower Saluda Scenic River Corridor Plan Update – 2000

- Protect/conserve natural, cultural, and scenic qualities of river corridor
- Improve water quality and aquatic habitat
- Improve quality and management of public access
- Improve river-user safety



1990 Corridor Plan

Lower Saluda River Task Force 1988 - 1990

SC Water Resources Commission SC Dept of Parks, Recreation & Tourism City of Columbia Mayor Central Midland COG SC Wildlife Federation Landowners on Saluda (3) SC Wildlife and Marine Resources Dept SC Dept of Health & Environment Control Audubon Society Lexington County Recreation Com Irmo-Chapin Recreation Com State Budget and Control Board SC Land Resources Commission

Lexington County Council River Runner, Inc Barron's Fishing and Hunting Center Columbia Convention/Visitors Bureau **Riverbanks** Zoo **Trout Unlimited Richland County Council** Greater Columbia Chamber of Commerce Governor's Office SC Electric and Gas Company Sierra Club Palmetto Paddlers City of West Columbia Mayor

Task Force Committees

- Access and Facilities
- Historic and Archaeological Sites
- Law Enforcement
- Litter
- Resource Protection
- Tourism and Promotion
- User Safety
- Implementation



1990 Corridor Plan Concepts

Saluda Shoals Park



Park plan as developed by Irmo-Chapin Recreation Commission

Figure 12. • Conceptual Park Opportunity Analysis — Twelvemile Creek



F UTILITY CORRIDORS can link

surrounding land uses.

SOUTH CAROLINA WATER RESOURCES COMMISSION SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION & TOURISM

Consultants:

CRAIG, GAULDEN & DAVIS, INC. ROBINSON FISHER ASSOCIATES, inc. 1989





Corridor Plan Update of 2000



DRAMA DAUGEN & DAVIS HIG POENSON FISHER ASSOCIATES, 11 1919

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION & TOURISM

Lake Murray Dam to Saluda Shoals Park



Saluda Shoals Park to Gardendale Landing



Gardendale Landing to Interstate-26





Approximate Scale













Desired Outcomes for Recreation Access/Facilities, Flows, and Safety

- Lower Saluda River Greenway Trail
- Safety egress above Mill Race Rapids
- Conservation of all SCE&G lands on river
- Minimum flows for recreational navigation
- Ramping flow releases & improved warning system
- Improved communication of flow conditions
- Scheduled flows for recreation

End

