MEETING NOTES

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY SALUDA HYDRO PROJECT RELICENSING All RCGs Operations Meeting

Saluda Shoals Park October 12, 2006

Final acg 11-13-06

ATTENDEES:

Alison Guth, Kleinschmidt Associates Alan Stuart, Kleinschmidt Associates David Price, LM Power Squadron Kim Westbury, Saluda County Bret Hoffman, Kleinschmidt Associates Ronald Scott, Lexington County

Patrick Moore, CCL, AR Ron Ahle, SCDNR

Kristina Massey, Kleinschmidt Associates

Bob Olson, NRE Jenn O'Rourke, SCWF Dick Christie, SCDNR Jeff Duncan, NPS Tony Bebber, SCPRT Tom Ruple, LMA

Bob Seibels, Riverbanks Zoo Bill Argentieri, SCE&G

Karen Kustafik, CoC Parks & Rec

Bill Brebner, YCOA Roy Parker, LMA

Steve Summer, SCANA Services Randy Mahan, SCANA Services

Ray Ammarell, SCE&G

Shane Boring, Kleinschmidt Associates Dave Anderson, Kleinschmidt Associates

Amy Bennett, SCDHEC Jim Ruane. REMI

Trisha Priester, Lexington County

Andy Miller, SCDHEC

Reed Bull, Midlands Striper Club Brandon Stutts, SCANA Services

Mike Schimpff, Kleinschmidt Associates

Tom Bowles, SCE&G

Richard Mikell, Adventure Carolina

Bob Perry, SCDNR Theresa Thom, NPS Ed Schnepel, LMA

Ed Diebold, Riverbanks Zoo

Jon Ouebbeman. Kleinschmidt Associates

Mike Waddell, Saluda TU Amanda Hill, USFWS Kenneth Fox. LMA

Bob Keener, LMA & LMSCA

Bud Badr, SCDNR Bob Keener, LMA

MEETING NOTES:

These notes serve to be a summary of the major points presented during the meeting and are not intended to be a transcript or analysis of the meeting.

Alan Stuart of Kleinschmidt Associates welcomed the group and noted that the purpose of this meeting was to introduce two items to the RCG members, a presentation on the research SCE&G has done on Alternative Energy Sources, and secondly to discuss the HEC-ResSim Operations Model. Alan noted that in order to aid in the understanding of hydrology when discussing the model, Dr. Bud Badr would also be providing the group with a hydrology 101 presentation. Subsequent to Alan's introduction, the following presentations were given (click below to view)

MEETING NOTES

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY SALUDA HYDRO PROJECT RELICENSING All RCGs Operations Meeting

Saluda Shoals Park October 12, 2006

Final acg 11-13-06

Alternative Energy Source Presentation – *Carl Hoadley & Skip Smith SCE&G:* http://www.saludahydrorelicense.com/documents/ALTERNATIVEGENERATION.pdf

An Understanding Of Hydrology – Dr. Bud Badr: Coming Soon

Discussion On The HEC-ResSim Operations Model – *Mike Schmipff & Jon Quebbeman – Kleinschmidt Associates:* <u>http://www.saludahydrorelicense.com/documents/SaludaProject10-12a.pdf</u>

Following the presentation on Alternative Generation, the floor was opened up for questions. One individual asked how the reliability numbers presented in the presentation were calculated. Carl H. replied that in order to calculate those numbers, they looked at forced outage rates, routine maintenance, as well as industry numbers. Bill A. also explained that many of the equipment cost numbers come from recent numbers that the vendors supplied. The group also briefly discussed how future demands will be fulfilled. One individual asked if SCE&G has evaluated how Saluda may be used in the future. Steve S. replied that SCE&G is looking at fulfilling future capacity needs through a nuclear station. There was also brief discussion regarding the use of Saluda over the past year. Bill A, explained that last year SCE&G tried to keep the lake level up around 358' and because of this, they had to get rid of the rainwater that entered the system rapidly to avoid exceeding the normal high water level. Due to problems with some of the other units at Saluda, Unit 5 was run to expel the excess rainwater. Reed B. also asked if there was any way to look at how Saluda was used for reserve in the past in order to predict how Saluda may be used for reserve in the future. Randy M. noted that because of the unpredictable nature of reserve calls, it would be difficult to forecast how often they may be called upon for reserve in the future. Patrick Moore asked if the alternatives analysis had considered partial replacement of only 50 or 100 MW because the most problematic impacts occurred at high flows. Bill A, replied that the Code of Fed. Regulations only required the full replacement cost analysis and that no partial analysis had been done. Later in the meeting Patrick commented that the 34 million dollar relicensing cost cap was an internal, SCE&G figure and that it in no way limited what SCE&G would be required to spend to address project impacts. He cited a recent court of appeals case that stated FERC has no obligation to issue an economically viable license.

After a short break, Dr. Bud Badr gave a presentation on hydrology to the group. There were no questions following Dr. Badr's presentation.

The next presentation was given by Mike Schmipff and Jon Quebbeman on the HEC-ResSim model developed for Saluda. The presentation can be viewed from the link above. Mike S. explained that the HEC-ResSim model was used for Lake Murray and was incorporated with the HEC-Ras model for the lower Saluda River. The floor was open for questions throughout the presentation. Tony B. noted that in the last 16 years he doesn't believe there have been any major flood events, and asked if something was built into the model to account for this. Mike S. explained that this being a water

MEETING NOTES

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY SALUDA HYDRO PROJECT RELICENSING All RCGs Operations Meeting

Saluda Shoals Park October 12, 2006

Final acg 11-13-06

allocation model, he was not as concerned about the high flow times because water can be allocated for all the needs. He noted that the concern lies in the low flow times. Jeff D. asked if data from the Catawba Wateree model could be integrated into the Saluda model. Jon Q. noted that it was possible to add in other data to the model, however he noted that he did not believe it would be necessary or appropriate to add the Catawba data in.

The group began to discuss in a little more detail the constraints to be developed by the Resource Conservation Groups. Dave A. asked if the flows in the lower Saluda River can be calculated at the gage by the Zoo. Jon Q. replied that it could. Dave A. also asked if the model could predict what would happen when Saluda is used for reserve. Jon Q. explained that they were going to handle this by adding in, for example, 200 MW, 1 day a month, for 24 hours. Dave A. asked how the constraints will be obtained from the Resource Conservation Groups. Jon Q. noted that it depended on the RCGs time schedule, once an RCG makes a recommendation for the model, he could input the data. Ron A. added that he believed that instream flows would be the last input to the model. Mike S. and Jon Q. concluded their presentation and the group adjourned.